UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/605,810	10/29/2003	Masayoshi Suzuki	KM-US030556	2809
	7590 03/17/200 OUNSELORS, LLP		EXAMINER	
1233 20TH STE	REET, NW, SUITE 70		DICKER, DENNIS T	
WASHINGTON, DC 20036-2680			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2625	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/17/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/605,810	SUZUKI ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

· · ·	Examine	Ait 0iiit					
	DENNIS DICKER	2625					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED <u>25 February 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.					
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C</li> </ol>	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, v with 37 CFR 41.31; o	which places the r (3) a Request				
periods: a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	-						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TW							
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(1	b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE f).	FIRST REPLY WAS FI	LED WITHIN TWO				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropri- nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee be action; or (2) as				
_	W 07 05D 44 07	en 1 141 1 4 4 4 1					
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENITMENTS.</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
AMENDMENTS							
3.  ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belowed).	nsideration and/or search (see NO		cause				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	**	ducing or simplifying t	he issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		ected claims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (	PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		mphane, anonamone (					
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendmer	nt canceling the				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proven the status of the claims (a) is (arrived by as follows:		l be entered and an e	xplanation of				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-14</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail	s to provide a				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ed.				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	t does NOT place the application ir	condition for allowan	ce because:				
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). ( 13. ☑ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
/Gabriel I Garcia/	Twyler Lamb						
Acting SPE of Art Unit 2625	Examiner Art Unit: 2625						

Continuation of 3. NOTE: the addition of new limitations such as a transmission management folder having transmittee folders, tranmitter folders being stored in the transmittee folders and the image data with the associated transmittee data being stored in the transmitter folders raises new issues requiring futher search and/or consideration.

Continuation of 13. Other: Response to Remarks.

- -As shown in Fig. 9B and Para 0197, Hibano et al. (US PUB 2003/0093675) teaches image data and transmittee data being attached to each other .
- -Hibano et al does not suggest a tranmission management folder because suggested matter was not claimed in as of office action entered on 10/19/2007.
- -O'shaughnessey et al (US 7,219,302) teaches an archive folder which can be customized for a specific user and can be customized to have transmitter and transmittee folders where data can be stored (See Fig. 7 and Col. 11 lines 57-67).
- -Hirata et al (5,289,582) does not suggest a tranmission management folder because suggested matter was not claimed in as of office action entered on 10/19/2007